NATIONALISM TODAY

The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

50p

NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 1985

ISSUE 35



AFTER THE RIOTS...

AN OPEN LETTER TO THE BLACK COMMUNITY

NATIONALISM TODAY *The Radical Voice of British Nationalism*

50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.
Tel: 01-684-0271

Editor: Keiron Richards

Nationalism Today is the radical voice of British Nationalism. It is printed, published and distributed by the N.T. Press. Editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are solely the responsibility of their authors and do not represent the views of the Editor, *N.T.* or the National Front.

The appearance of any advertisement in *Nationalism Today* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, or interest in, or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive manuscripts of articles from readers for possible publication. These should be typed in double-spacing and normally be no longer than 1,250 words. No payment is made for articles published - which become *N.T.* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alterations to be made.

Those wishing to re-print articles from *Nationalism Today*, must gain the permission of the Editor and undertake to include with the re-printed article the author's name - and the name and address of *Nationalism Today*



NEW NATION is the quarterly ideological magazine of the National Front. For a sample copy send 75p plus 35p postage. Send to *New Nation*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF. Cheques/postal orders made payable to 'Nationalist Publications'.

editorial

NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 1985 ISSUE 35

NF Members Face Prison

A SUCCESSION of National Front members are currently being dragged through the courts charged under the Race Relations Act of "inciting racial hatred". Those facing this persecution by the courts include NF Chairman Ian Anderson, Directorate members Joe Pearce and Martin Wingfield, and Party activists from Newcastle.

However, the Establishment's latest wave of Race Act prosecutions against British patriots begun with Sid Campbell, a distinguished ex-serviceman and the Organiser of the NF's Medway unit. In the finest NF tradition of resolute and proud defiance, Sid faced his persecutors with the courage of his convictions. What is more, however, he fought the might of the Establishment and the Director of Public Prosecutions **and won!** The judge had no choice but to acquit him after an all-White jury refused to convict him of 'inciting racial hatred'. *NT* would like to congratulate Sid for his success in defeating the draconian Race Laws and we hope that the other NF defendants are as successful as he has been in hammering home a few more nails into the conffin of the anti-British Race Act.

Meanwhile, Martin Wingfield has gone to prison rather than pay a fine imposed on him after his conviction on Race Act charges. It is the **absolute duty** of **all** Nationalists to support Martin Wingfield during his period of imprisonment.

Joe Pearce and Ian Anderson are in court at the beginning of December and, like Martin Wingfield, they face the prospect of Christmas behind bars if the Establishment is successful in securing convictions against them.

Nevertheless, the National Front need not despair. This latest Establishment onslaught only goes to prove how the NF is **feared** by the multi-racialists. The latest riots sounded the death knell to their corrupt and perverted system. As the riots begin to rip their world apart, the National Front stands tall and proud preparing to face the storm. **After we've rode the storm their corrupt old system will lie in ruins and our New World will beckon before us, heralding a re-birth and a New Dawn for the British people.**

When Blacks Got Away With Murder

IN MAY 1983 Albert Mariner, an ex-serviceman, was hit on the head by a brick thrown by a Black mob in Tottenham, North London. **He was taken to hospital and died next day.** Despite demands from his friends in the National Front that the police should launch a murder inquiry both the police and the media insisted that Albert died of 'natural causes'.

In September 1985 a *Sunday Telegraph* journalist was hit on the head by a Black mob in Brixton, South London. He was taken to hospital but was later discharged. **He died nearly a month later.** Despite the long delay between the journalist's initial injury and his subsequent death the media immediately treated his death as murder and the police immediately launched a murder inquiry.

Of course, nobody will question the impartiality of the police and only National Front extremists will believe that the police deliberately let the murderer of Albert Mariner go scot free 'in th interests of community relations' . . . .

HOME AFFAIRS

TIGHTENING THEIR GRIP.....
Or asking to get their fingers burned

"You Give me a job, man, and I'll call them off."

NOT CONTENT with Leon Brittan's White Paper proposals for tougher Race Laws ,Zionist Jews are calling for even more draconian measures against White Racialists.

One of the most prominent members of the "Race Relations industry" is Geoffrey Bindman. Bindman, a solicitor wrote *Race and the Law* back in the 1960s. His co-author , Anthony Lestor was another Left –wing Jewish lawyer and at one time the Home Secretary's Special Advisor on Race Relations. The proposals put forward in the book later formed the basis of the Race Relations Act.

Bindman went on to become the top lawyer for the Race Board and is now heavily involved with its offspring the Commission for Racial Equality. A further guide to his politics may be taken from the fact that he has represented the Trotskyite Socialist Workers Party in a variety of legal actions and has served on the Race Relations committee of Britain's alternative Zionist government, the Board of Deputies of British Jews.

Bindman has welcomed the new White Paper's "valuable proposals, which should certainly create the possibility of more successful prosecutions." He is particularly pleased about the introduction of the "intent" clause . The aim of this is to get over the fact that someone accused of making racialist comments in front of , say,an M.P., could escape conviction by claiming that their words were not likely to stir up racial hatred in such circumstances.

Bindman is also delighted by the removal of the exemption clause in the present law, which allows racialist material to be circulated to members of a private association. Bindman admits that this could be seen as an unwarranted invasion of privacy, but believes that this is perfectly acceptable if it helps control Gentile racialism.

He takes the same view of the new "offence" of possessing racialist material with a view to its distribution or publication and related powers of search and seizure. Says Bindman "the law now in force for obscene publications is apparently to cover racial obscenity. Whatever reservations there may be about the law of sexual obscenity there should be none about introducing new powers to ban material which provokes racist violence".

But Bindman and his fellow Zionists do not feel that the new proposals go far enough. At present, prosecutions require the consent of the Attorney- General. Bindman wants this requirement dropped to make prosecution even easier. He has also demanded that "incitement to racial hatred" by made an arrestable offence, in order to allow police to use it to break up National Front demonstrations and meetings

Meanwhile , Mr. Harry Cohen, Labour M.P. for Leyton, has introduced a Racial Harassment (Housing) Bill which demands that local authorities should evict council tenants accused of "racialism" by Coloured neighbours. The Bill follows on from a report on racial harassment by the Policy Studies Institute (founded as the political and Economic Planning "think-tank" in 1931 by Israeli, Lord Sieff and now chaired by his co-Zionist Lord Roll,)

Charity Wants "Recall" Of Blacks

A charity is seeking government cash and public donations to raise £3 million to enable thousands of unemployed British born West Indians and Asians to leave Britain and set up businesses "in their former homelands".

Recall, a charity established earlier this year to educate and train the adult unemployed, says the need to encourage the wasted talent of immigrants to return to their former countries is even more urgent after the Handsworth and Brixton riots.

The charity's literature says:"our immigrant members are the best educated and have the best work experience yet enjoyed by their stock. Many are willing to transmit these back to their origin countries through themselves, if only a prosperous return could be offered them."

Mr Jim Stanton Barber, the 58-year old director general of Recall, based in an office in Leatherhead High Street in Surrey, said:"By being able to encourage West Indians to return to the Caribbean to start their own businesses we will stop them wasting their talents while they are unemployed here and help develop the Third World".

"We have similar plans to develop links with India and the Middle East".

Mr Stanton Barber said that the charity had been set up by like minded people who were worried about worsening employment prospects for mature British and immigrant people and also felt that the Third World desperately needed development.

He said that they had raised £200,000 for research and hoped to buy a mansion near Gatwick airport as a training and entertainment centre.

Once again a group of individuals have come up with a positive and common sense solution to the problem of the Black unemployed. It is not the first time that such individuals, Black and White alike, have come up with similar solutions and doubtless it will not be the last. Yet every time a new scheme is suggested it falls on deaf ears if and when it reaches Parliament.

Back in 1979 Thatcher said she understood the fears of British people that they were being "swamped" by a black tide. Now that people are suggesting ways that the swamp can be drained and the tide reversed Mrs Thatcher is careful to look the other way.

DONATE TO THE N.W.A.

I have pleasure in enclosing a donation of £ . p

NAME .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

THE BRITISH CAR INDUSTRY

Killing It...

LARGE ADVERTS have been appearing in the daily press by Japanese car giants Nissan,stating how wonderful their new car plant will be. Yet one thing is for certain – it will be disasterous for British car manufacturers. The new plant will include the latest technology and will only employ 240 people. However, many are expected to apply for the £6,700 jobs which are based in the unemployment blackspot of Tyne and Wear. The AUEW has arranged a special deal with management which restricts the right to take industrial action. It has yet to be seen how this will affect the workforce but it could leave them open to unscrupulous management.

British car manufacturers, up against new technology and low labour costs, would not even have the protection of import controls as the cars would be totally made here. Due to the absence of exchange controls the profits made by Nissan would not be ploughed back in Britain but sent back to Japan. By 1990 they expect 100,000 cars to be made here. At that rate the British car industry will not be in existence much after year 2000.



Nissan: Thousands of applicants – no jobs.

Britain's car industry should be owned by and run by the workers themselves working on behalf of the nation using totally British components. Profits, as well as being shared by the workforce, should be reinvested into the company. British jobs for British Workers!

Fighting For It...

VAUXHALL MOTORS have gone back on an agreement made with TGWU to reduce imports and increase home production . They now import even more and produce even less here. In response the union has threatened to take indefinite strike action at Vauxhall's Ellesmere port, and drivers have agreed to stop the movement of all Vauxhall vars and components, if the management refuses to cut down on imports.

At Ellesmere port where there should be 35 vehicles an hour produced it is only producing 24. However more than 22,000 Astras have been imported from West Germany and Belgium in the past 14 months. The low production has been caused by the low amount of investment in the plant compared to abroad . This is a typical tactic used by the multi-nationals in that they can switch production to wherever they like causing havoc to areas they decide to pull out of since the local community is often dependant on them.

Fighting imports is fighting for jobs.

We have the ability to produce all the cars we need as we once did. This would be the case again under a Nationalist government. The factories would be owned by the workers themselves with profits going to them, the workplace, and the nation as a whole, not sent abroad or into the pockets of a few.

National Bank Plan by Labour

This headline would suggest that at last Labour is beginning to bring in some sane policies. But closer inspection shows the many failings of their plan.

They only plan to nationalise one city bank, probably the Investors in Industry Bank which is partly owned by the clearing banks and the Bank of England. It will be controlled by 'experts' from other city institutions, which of course will result in it being just a puppet for the international financiers. Its main purpose is supposed to be to encourage companies to invest in Britain instead of overseas, to invest in an industrial loan scheme. But it would be without teeth as companies would not be **required by law** to switch their investments.

The only way to create a fair banking system is that which is proposed by the National Front in its last election manifesto, *Let Britain Live:*

Inflation is caused primarily by the usury system. Bankers are allowed to make loans of money which they do not posses and which does not exist - except by virtue of their own entries in their own ledger, or nowadays in their own computers! They demand the repayment of these loans of 'imaginary money' in real wealth that the debtor had had to work to create.....

"The power which the bankers derive from this fraudulent system is what Labour party has reffered to as "the commanding heights of the economy". The Labour has always funked scalding those commanding heights. We do not intend to fail in that regard because unless Parliament is able to control the issue of credit then it does not have sovereignty over British affairs.

"We therefore propose to nationalise the banking industry. Individuals and commercial concerns will be provided with all the services required of a banking system by a state bank save that the power which bankers presently enjoy will be possessed by the elected representatives of the people and not by cabals of private individuals who owe no loyalty to Britain and whose sole apurpose in life is the maximisation of profits by parasitism".

ERNST ZUNDEL

In issue 30 of *Nationalism Today* we published a 'Troubleshooting' feature on the trial of Ernst Zundel in Candada. The byline of this feature was attributed to both Tom Acton and Pat Harrington. This was an editorial error. The article was in fact written solely by Tom Acton while Pat Harrington researched but did not write any of it.

LAW AND DISORDER

THE CONSERVATIVES are the party of law and order, or so most of the British people believe. They claim with monotonous regularity that they will tackle crime, and the British voter being incredibly credulous goes out and votes Conservative.

Yet, in spite of all Thatcher's pompous polemic, the level of reported crimes has risen sharply under the Tories.

In 1979, the year that Thatcher and Co came to power on, amongst other things, a law and order ticket, the number of reported incidents of violence against the persons in England and Wales was 94,960. Last year it was 114,200. In the same period the police clear-up rate for this type of crime dropped from 77 to 74 per cent. The number of robberies increased from 12,482 in 1979 to almost double – 24,900 – last year. The police clear-up rate dropped in the same period from 31 to 22 per cent.

Since the Government took office the number of police officers in England and Wales has increased by 7,000. Quite how the Tories explain the percentage drop in clear-up rates against a heavy increase in the number of police officers is not easy to imagine.

The fact is that Thatcher is using her bigger, better police force as the over-paid boot-boys of her reactionary regime. They have been deployed with varying levels of success against dissident elements like the National Front and the miners while criminals have been getting away with murder, among other things.

In truth the Tories are the Party of Law and **Disorder.** While the Government prepares for its Police State, the streets are preparing for anarchy. In the end neither can prevail. From the ashes a third force must and will rise!

THATCHER: Sitting on a crime explosion.

Hotels On Mayfair

WITH A personal fortune of more that £2 Billion, Gerald Grosvenor, the Sixth Duke of Westminster, is the wealthiest man in Britain. Although he has never done a day's work in his life to earn any of this vast sum, the parasite Duke is at present fighting an action in the European Court of Human Rights to avoid the loss of a mere one thousandth part of his fortune.

This is the estimated loss to the Duke from reforms compelling the sale of property to long-term tenants at prices below the going market rate. Of course, he wouldn't even miss the money, but is fighting the action "on principle" because he believes that the reform of 1967 contravenes the statement of the European Human Rights Convention that no citizen should be deprived of property "except in the public interest." It is a little difficult to see how it serves the public interest for the Duke of Westminster to continue to exploit tenants and remain the richest man in Britain, but when you can afford top lawyers like Michael Beloff, QC, it's amazing what can be done with the English language.

The Duke's Grosvenor Estates company is one of a small group of landowning estates which between them own huge areas of the wealthiest parts of London. Some, such as Howard de Walden, Cadogan and Bedford, preserve the fantastic wealth of noble and merchant dynasties which go back hundreds of years. These have been joined by a more recent class of parasites, including the Samuel family, property developer Felix Fenston and speculators such as Jack Cotton and John Ritblat.

UNHOLY ALLIANCE

Some pension funds have now also started to buy their way in, but the unholy alliance of capitalist nobility and shady Jews still hogs the bulk of the wealth.

Various Labour governments have made half-hearted attempts to clip the wings of the estate owners. But most have been strangled at birth by pressure from the likes of the clique of Jewish financiers who had Harold Wilson in their pockets. Those reforms which did survive are ineffective and easily blocked by legal dodges such as the Duke of Westminster's "human rights" action.

Even Capital Transfer Tax, introduced in 1974 as the "final solution" to the great estates, has been emasculated by the use of loopholes and 'legal' tax fiddles. Although it has hit smaller family businesses and farms, this tax has scarcely touched the super-rich. As a top tax-lawyer quotes in the *Financial Times* recently said: "the property owners and their advisors have drawn the sting from CTT."

As a result, says the *Financial Times* "it means that well into the next century the West End will still be a monopoly board, with much of its choicest property in the hands of fewer than a dozen great estates."

In fact, the super-rich will probably retain their grip on life in Britain until the victory of the National Front. For that will bring in a new "human right" – the right to own your own home and not be exploited by parasites like the Duke of Westminster.



The Duke of Westminster

The Jewel In The Sewer

A TOP transport official quit his £27,000-a-year job in protest at being given an 8.9 per-cent pay rise after leading tough pay negotiations which resulted in his workers getting only 3 per-cent.

Mr Mike Allen, personnel director of the Tyne and Wear Passenger Transport Executive, confirmed in late September that he had resigned as a matter of conscience.

He said he could no longer remain in his post after being awarded a £2,000 rise on his £25,000 salary.

Mr Allen, aged 54, and married with two children, said: "As a PTE director, I don't believe I can argue stenuously that the staff take a low pay increase while taking a very big one myself.

"I have been with the PTE for 10 years and now have no job to go to".

It is rare though refreshing to see a man of integrity in this age of crass materialism. All too often *NT* has described instances of fat and overpaid bosses awarding themselves large pay rises while telling their workforces to tighten their belts and accept a pittance. Mr Allen is a good and worthy exception to a bad and unworthy rule. He is a priceless jewel in a worthless sewer.

Bankers On A Knife-Edge

THE INTERNATIONAL debt volcano is rumbling once more. In spite of the cool response to Fidel Castro's call to his fellow Latin Americans to stage a "debtors strike" and renege on the 360 Billion dollars they owe to the international banks, there is a growing threat of eruotion from the global debt mountain. Such an eruption would shatter the world economy and redraw the political map in dozens of countries.

Now the National Front has good reason to look forward to an international debt crisis, for the doomladen analysis above is not just ours. Rather it appeared in the financial pages of the ultra-respectable *Daily Telegraph* (12.8.85). It, and the headlines from other top papers, bear witness to the growing worries that the capitalists have about the stability of their entire system.

The temporary measures introduceed in 1982 to stave off immediate collapse have, it is true succeeded after a fashion. Draconian economic austerity programmes have been enforced in many debtor countries without leading to revolution and some are now exporting much more than before, which puts them in a better position to repay their debts.

Yet the emergency secret gathering of top bankers and politicians in New York at the end of last month that the international Establishment is still very nervous over this issue.

With good reason. Alan Garcia, the new radical president of Peru, has told foreign banks that his country will pay no more than 10% of its export earnings in debt charges. Peru is already behind with repay–ments and owes 14 Billion dollars.

That amount pales into insignificance compared with the sums owed by other countries which have so far played by the Bankers rules. But the problem for the financiers is that pressure is growing on the big debtors to follow Peru's brave example.


Garcia taking a firm line on Peru's $14 bn debt burden
Brazil wants $50 bn debt rescheduling
IMF chief warns of debt explosion
Defiant Brazil prepares to take on the IMF
World debt call to IMF
Peru debt threat
Eruption threat from Latin debt mountain
The world's financial safety is balanced on a knife-edge
Cuba holds debt talks with West
Jittery banks see spectre of default in Argentina's crisis
Call for debtors' strike

The Debt Crisis – Not default of da Blacks!

GETTING CRITICAL

The Latin American countries still suffer from explosive inflation, massive government deficits and continued capital flight. And things are getting critical.

According to the Bank for International Settlements, in Basle, Western banks actually reduced their exposure to the less developed countries in the first three months of this year. For the first time since records were kept, the financial system is syphoning funds away from the poorest countries.

Commodity prices, also, are falling, making it harder for the developing countries to earn the foreign exhange they need to pay their debts. Exports from the debtor nations have also been hit by the down-turn in the United States economy. There is now a real risk that world growth may dip below the 3% annually which the IMF says is necessary to stop the debt burden on the poorer countries getting worse.

At the same time, pressure in the indust-rialised countries for protection are growing. Many American congressmen want to erect tariff barriers against competitors running big trade surpluses with the U.S. Among the main targets, after Japan, is Brazil. Yet unless Brazil can export its steel, shoes, and coffee to America it will not be able to pay its debts, owed largely to American banks.

Mounting protectionism, slow growth and the threat of rising U.S. interest rates make the debt outlook "very disturbing" according to the World Bank. In its latest report it points out that the debtor nations would "virtually have to double their trade surpluses just to satisfy their interest obligations," at the same time that political pressure to shut them out is growing in the industrialised countries.

GREAT RISK

Faced with these difficulties, the odds are that one of the major debtors will sooner or later simply refuse to pay anything. As Anthony Solomon, the former chairman of the New York Federal Reserve Bank recently warned that "there is a great risk of the heavily indebted countries moving in a more radical direction and their political will to continue debt servicing becoming weaker."

Principal amoung the nations likely to default are Brazil and Mexico. Brazil owes more than 100 Billion dollars and is in trouble with the IMF over budget cuts. 45 Billion dollars worth of private debts fall due between now and 1991.

Mexico was having trouble with interest repayments alone on its 96 Billion dollar debt even before the shattering earthquake, and falling oil prices have cut foreign exchange earnings, adding to the problems.

REAL PROBLEMS

But the people with the real problems aren't the debtors, but their creditors. Loans to the big four Latin American borrowers (Brazil, Mexico, Argentina and Venezuela) still amount to more than 100% of shareholders capital in America's top ten Banks. On the morning after a major default the countries themselves, with all their raw materials, industries and potential for growth, would still be there. But the U.S. banking system would have collapsed.

Thus the solution is even more pre-carious now than it was when last year's Commonwealth finance ministers meeting in Toronto was told "the worlds financial safety is balanced on a knife edge. The debt crisis threatens not only development in the developing countries, but also the stability of the banking system of industrial countries."

As the *Daily Telegraph's* financial expert concluded only a few weeks ago: "Western governments and bankers would be wise to have contingency plans to the ready. They may be needed."

SOUTH AFRICA— REAL MINORITY RULE

SOUTH AFRICA'S president P.W. Botha began his "reform process" of selling out White interests at the behest of Capitalists who wanted a docile Black middle class to help make them more money. Instead Botha's betrayal merely opened the flood-gates of chaos, as Blacks thought they saw a weakening of White will which, with a determined push, could be turned into a surrender. Now the Capitalists who pushed Botha into his betrayal of Afrikanerdom are ditching him and seeking to do a deal with the Black Communist terrorists of the ANC which will keep their profits and their cheap Black labour pool intact.

On the weekend of 14th/15th September, leaders of the ANC and top South African Capitalists met at Mfuwe, Zambia, under the chairmanship of Zambian dictator Kenneth Kaunda. After the meeting, the ANC hailed the "constructive dialogue" and the Capitalists called for the release of ANC front-man Nelson Mandela and negotiations to begin to end White rule. Both sides agreed the meeting had been a success. This cosy chat was doubtless made easier by the fact that the real leaders of both "White", Capitalist and "Black" ANC sides are not themselves of either race. They are both Jews.

In our September issue we exposed the fact that the most powerful man in the ANC, the commander of its military wing, Umkhonto We Sizwe, is a Jew, Joe Slovo. Members of Slovo's entirely Jewish-led South African Communist Party hold almost all the seats on the ANC's governing body. The most powerful man on the Capitalist side, the head of the Anglo-American Corporation which controls 72% of the companies listed on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange, is another Jew, Harry Oppenheimer. Oppenheimer's Board Chairman, Gavin Relly, headed the Capitalist delegation which met the ANC, whose delegation was headed by the Negro Oliver Tambo.

This meeting between a White Capitalist pawn fronting for a Jew and a Black Communist pawn fronting for another Jew heralds the shape of things to come in South Africa. Whoever wins, the country's 120,000 Jews aim to keep the strings of power firmly grasped in their tentacles. All that will change is the colour of their "front man's" face. After all they know that Capitalists and Communists have worked together for nutual profit before, especially when they have such a lot in common.

Meanwhile, poor P.W., who really believes that Capitalists are deadly enemies and Jews are just people who don't like bacon sandwiches and appear a lot in the Bible, is terribly confused. He has accused the Capitalists of "disloyalty" and "showing weakness in the face of the enemy". In fact, Capitalists are loyal only to their bank balances and have only one real enemy – Racial Nationalists. And Jews are loyal only to other Jews. Perhaps events will cause P.W. Botha to realise that. But by then it will be too late.

In the meantime, those who do realise the real facts of life, both Black and White, should unite to get rid of Slovo, Oppenheimer and their puppets of both races and to build South Africa composed of totally separate Black and White nations, living in freedom and mutual respect, in which no-one is ruled by those of alien race. That, not whipping Negroes and locking them out of toilets, is what apartheid was originally about, after all.

SHEKEL and HIDE

NT EXPOSES THE ZIONIST USE OF MONEY, DECEIT AND TERROR

ISRAEL— TRIAL BY JEWRY

IF THE courage of the Lebanese bombers commands respect, if not necessarily admiration, then the emergence of a more typical type of terrorism in the Middle East should command nothing more than contempt.

In the same week that two Arab suicide bombers one a man the other a young woman, were giving up their lives in the fight against Zionism in southern Lebanon, 18 Zionists were found guilty of offences related to a Jewish underground terror group which had operated on the West Bank.

Menachem Livini, Uzi Sharbaf and Shaul Nir were all convicted of murder at the Jerusalem district court arising from an attack on the Islamic college in Hebron in July 1983, in which three students were murdered. Itzhak Geniram and Barak Nir were convicted of manslaughter in the Hebron college attack.

Livni, Sharbaf, Shaul Nir and Barak Nir were also found guilty of attempted murder arising from the planting of bombs in five Arab buses in Jerusalem during April 1984.

The defendants accused of attempted murder arising from the 1980 bombing of two Arab mayors' cars were acquitted, but were convicted of causing grievous bodily harm. Mr Bassam Shaka, the mayor of Nablus and Mr Karim Khalaf, the mayor of Ramallah, were maimed in the attack. Mr Khalaf died in March.

Nine defendants were found guilty of conspiracy. They were all involved in a plot to blow up the temple Mount Mosques in Jerusalem early last year.

It will no doubt come as a relief to the persecuted Arabs who have the misfortune to live under Zionist rule that the 18 extremists are now safely behind bars. It is, however, ironic that they should be convicted by a Zionist court. After all , the Israeli terror state only came into being following a cowardly terror campaign which included hanging British sevicemen by piano wire. What is more, those who perpetrated these barbaric acts of terrorism, such as Menachem Begin and Ariel Sharon, later went on to become the political leaders of the Israeli state which their bombs and bloodshed had helped to create. Even today, the Israeli army in southern Lebanon is killing women and children in their war of vendetta against the Lebanese people. And the Israeli airforce is using the latest American war-planes to flatten Palestinian hospitals in Tunisia.

The irony and hypocrisy of the Israeli court in convicting 18 terrorists during July can therefore be seen very clearly. Far from being a fanatical and unrepresentative minority of the Zionist vision the 18 terrorists merely seem to be carrying on the traditions established by their forefathers, their political leaders and the glorious Israeli army!

The message of all this is obvious . . . Zionist Jewry can see that growing racial tensions and continued economic decline are turning up the heat under the simmering multi-racial pot. In an attempt to stop it boiling over, they are clamping the lid down. This may keep things under control for a little while longer, but only at the long-term cost of an explosion of White British anger which will destroy not only the multi-racial society, but the power of organised Zionism which helped to create it.

heritage

Members of the Sealed Knot at Edgehill in Warwickshire relive the first battle of the Civil War *(above)*. The original Battle of Edgehill, fought between Cromwell Parliamentary force and King Charles' Royalists, took place in 1642.

A regular series which looks at the heritage and culture of Northern Europe in general and the British Isles in particular.

Members of the Dark Ages Society *(above)* rehearse their re-enactment of the Battle of Wroughton. The real battle took place in the 9th Century between the rival kingdoms of Wessex and Mercia.



Hill carvings such as the White Horse *(above)* carved in the South Downs chalk near Alfriston in East Sussex are among some of the most potent and evocative symbols of British nationhood. Members of the British Trust for Conservation Volunteers *(above)* took a working holiday in September to ensure that future generations of Britons can see and admire the White Horse in the same way that our forefathers did.

The **problem** is simply that we **can't** compete with the cheap, sweated labour of the Third World. The **solution** is simply that therefore we **shan't compete with the cheap, sweated labour of the Third World!**

The National Front would ban the importation of all textiles imports from the Third World. This would prevent foreign firms from undercutting the British clothing industry and would ensure a large and secure home market for the output of British factories. The result would be hundreds of thousands of new jobs almost overnight.

Those who advocate the right of Third World nations to flood the British home market with their cheap imports say that import controls would lead to retaliation. Yet the retalliation argument is a red herring since we import far more from those countries than we export to them. Consequently, British industry will gain far more in the home market than it will lose on the world market.

WORDS OF WISDOM

Thus it can be seen that Chesterton's words of wisdom – that we must understand things in their simplicity before we can understand them in their complexity – is totally true. Ms Meade-King's complexity compounds the problem; the National Front's simplicity solves the problem!

Nevertheless it is hard to believe that Ms Meade-King is so simple that she can't even understand so simple a solution as an import ban to save the British textiles industry. Modern-day intellectuals are notoriously stupid, but surely they're not **that** stupid?

No, it is likely that Ms Meade-King does know that import bans would save the British textiles industry, yet either consciously or unconsciously she refuses to admit it. She refuses to admit it because, above all else, she is an **internationalist.** Like all good international 'socialists' she believes in a utopian One World order for all the nations and all the peoples of the world. She believes that the world is One World with one working class.

That, in a nutshell, is the explanation for Ms Meade-King's opposition to import controls. Socialists, like capitalists, are internationalists,and import controls ,by definition, are specifically **national.** Import controls have the effect of strengthening national sovereignty, while communists and capitalists alike seek to destroy it.

That also is the reason that Ms Meade-King's solution is, in reality,no solution at at all. She,as an internationalist, tries to make the best of One World. We as Nationalists, can and will get the best of both worlds!

WANTED !

Guitarist and keyboard player for Nationalist Band. Rehearsals will be in London. Modern rock, but not Oi. Write to: BCM GRIMNIR, London WC1N 3XX.

Media Watch



Scum Of The Earth

THE SICKENING editorial from *The Sun* epitomises all that is disgusting and decadent about reactionary Toryism.

The first piece repeats the old multi-racialist lie, used by Marxists as much as by Tories, that "we're all immigrants". What *The Sun* fails to mention is that **all** the varying tribes who invaded Britain were of **exactly the same race.** To compare Celts or Vikings with Pakistanis or West Indians is totally absurd. It would make as much sense to say that a person from Manchester has as much in common with a Zulu from South Africa as he has with one of his racial kinsmen in Birmingham.

Above all, this piece of multi-racialist propaganda shows that reactionary Tories are as blind to the realities of race as are their opposite numbers on the 'extreme left'. Fortunately, however the West Indian communities currently resident in Britain are more aware of the racial realities. While *The Sun* was talking about the need to "build bridges" Blacks in Handsworth, Brixton, Peckham, Toxteth and elsewhere were happily **burning** bridges and, for that matter, anything else they could get their hands or petrol bombs on!

While *The Sun* was happy to welcome anyone from a Jamaican to a Kalahari Bushman as a permanent resident in Britain, the next piece in the editorial was indignant that two members of the Palestinian Liberation Organisation should even be allowed to **visit** Britain for a few days. Underlying *The Sun's* indignation at the invitation of PLO representatives to London, is the paper's grovelling support for the Terror State of Israel.

The final piece in *The Sun's* editorial is just one more attack, in a long series of attacks, on the miners.

So there we have it. Three sickening elements of reactionary Toryism and gutter journalism all in the space of one editorial. Tory Reaction, as epitomised by *The Sun*, is nothing more than a united multi-racialist and Zionist front working to smash the resistance of the British worker. If the British worker doesn't smash the forces of Reaction the forces of Reaction will smash the British worker. There is no third way.

THE SUN SAYS

We're all immigrants!

GO BACK far enough in time and virtually all the people of Britain are immigrants.

The first Britons, the Celts, were linked with the Bretons of Northern France. The waves of Angles and Saxons, Jutes and Vikings came from Germany and Scandinavia.

Later there were the Normans of France, later still the French Huguenots, and then the flood of refugees from Eastern Europe in the last century.

We know now that the influx of West Indians and Asians after the war was too sudden and too large. It has created difficulties and tensions.

Absurd

But the majority of both these communities were either born here or have known no other real home. It is, therefore, absurd for Enoch Powell to talk of repatriation as a solution.

Given the chance, the men and women of West Indian and Asian descent can enrich this country.

The way to solve our racial problems is by understanding and reconciliation.

We must build bridges, not seek to treat British citizens as if they were perpetual aliens.

Not welcome

MARGARET Thatcher should not have invited two leaders of the Palestine Liberation Organisation to London.

She is genuinely anxious to promote a peace settlement in the Middle East. And the two men have specifically renounced violence.

But they are linked to an organisation that lives by the gun and the bomb.

In Ulster, members of Sinn Fein have also dissociated themselves from acts of terror. Yet they stand ready to profit from IRA murders.

What would be the Prime Minister's reaction if President Reagan spread out the red carpet for Sinn Fein?

The invitation to two members of a terrorist organisation to come to London is distasteful and should be cancelled.

Poodle Neil

THE Labour Conference seems certain to back the Scargill amnesty for law-breaking miners.

It is said, however, that Neil Kinnock will veto any attempt to include the pledge in Labour's election manifesto.

But what if, before polling day, the conference makes its decisions binding on the party?

Brother Neil is the party poodle. And everyone knows what happens to dogs who bite their master.

SAUNDERS LEWIS – AN APPRECIATION

ON September 1st 1985 one of the foremost nationalist philosophers of our age died, at the age of 91: Mr. Saunders Lewis, founder of the Welsh nationalist party, *Plaid Cymru.*

The especial significance of Saunders Lewis for nationalism in general is that he was always greatly in advance of his time. During the ten years (roughly 1925–35) when he developed the philosophy of modern Welsh nationalism he propounded many of the principles that the NF has in the last few years come to enbody in its new radical programme.

He saw clearly the link between Marxism and capitalism, and the danger of the latter: *Capitalism,* he wrote, *is a prime enemy of nationalism.* He saw too that the elimination of capitalism must mean and end to industrialism. In 1933 he wrote: *Agriculture must be the main industry of Wales, and the basis of her civilisation.*

For Saunders Lewis, as for the NF today, the **political** revolution cannot be isolated as an end in itself: it is inevitably part of a much wider **cultural** battle, and the whole struggle must, if it is to succeed be intrinsically **spiritual.** On one occasion he put it this way:

To create a Welsh-speaking Wales is the surest way of building up a country within which the oppression of international capitalism cannot dwell. Of course, our socialist friends are unable to grasp this. So enmeshed are they in the coils of 19th century materialism that they do not see that economic oppression will ultimately be defeated by spiritual forces.

Like the NF today, too, Lewis' breadth of vision was as great as its depth. He was no isolationist, but saw Wales historically and necessarily in the wide context of the Roman European tradition: *Wales can understand Europe,* he said, *for she is one of the family.* And like the NF today he stood for the individual and the family against the growing power of the modern State.

OBITUARY

PAUL MATTHEWS REMEMBERS THE FOUNDER OF MODERN WELSH NATIONALISM.

In 1936 Saunders Lewis was imprisoned for 9 months for burning down an R.A.F. bombing school being built onthe Llyn peninsula. The school meant the destruction of a significant Welsh-speaking region, and to Lewis the establishment of anglicisation behind the Snowdon mountains as well as in front would mean that the heartland of Welsh culture would be crushed as between two pincers. The site previously chosen by the R.A.F. – Abbotsbury in Dorsetshire – had been rejected because the Government had listened to an argument that it was a noted breeding-place for swans; yet when the Llyn was chosen and the destruction of a national culture was at stake the Government refused so much as to hear a deputation of eminent Welsh cultural, religious and academic figures.

Saunders Lewis – himself a university lecturer – thus took his action together with two colleagues, the Rev. Lewis Valentine and D.J. Williams, a schoolmaster, in order through his trial to *bring the English State before the bar of conscience.* Although he immediately gave himself up and took responsibility for the act the Welsh jury at Caenarfon refused to find him guilty. He was thus taken for trial to the Old Bailey, London, where he declined to defend himself in English and would acknowledge the authority of the Court.

His sacrifice was in the direct tradition of resistance to English rule that has been a permanent feature of Welsh life, and his *un-civil disobedience,* as he called it, has proved an inspiration for much of the activism of the present-day *Cymdeithas yr Iaith Gymraig* (the Welsh Language Society). Though no advocate of terrorist violence he believed in the necessary combination of intellect and action.

The spiritual reality of nationhood, he believed, exists only insofar as *someone is prepared to act for it,* to assume its traditions and values: to promote them, to live them, *to suffer for them.*

In this Saunders Lewis always led from the front. He was true to himself, to his beliefs and to his country. We salute his memory as a brother in nationalism.

heritage
POEM of the MONTH

The Soldier by RUPERT BROOKE

If I should die, think only this of me;
That there's some corner of a foreign field
That is for ever England. There shall be
In that rich earth a richer dust concealed;
A dust whom England bore, shaped, made aware,
Gave, once, her flowers to love, her ways to roam,
A body of England's breathing English air,
Washed by the rivers, blest by suns of home.

And think, this heart, all evil shed away,
A pulse in the eternal mind, no less
Gives somewhere back the thoughts by England given;
Her sights and sounds; dreams happy as her day;
And laughter, learnt of friends, and gentleness,
In hearts at peace, under an English heaven.



TEACHER'S PET - HATE

DAVE STEVENS

THE SECRET plans of the sinister Young National Front have been discovered. Their plans to train the under-fives in martial arts and to indoctrinate them with their evil creed have, however, been foiled. For that well known home for weirdos- some funny, some dangerous - known as Islington Council, has had one of its ideas.

The council is advertising for an "adviser on under - fives (anti -racist strategies)". For this back breaking job, the successful candidate can only look forward to a measly £11,000 a year, hardly adequate for a member of the advanced guard of the proletariat.

The job is open to "all races, sexes, lesbians and gay men". so they should be able to find someone.

It has yet to be seen whether this new council appointment will lead to the wiping out of a whole generation of Islington youngsters by an outbreak of Aids.

It seems that the successful loony *(sorry, applicant)* will brainwash *(I mean teach)* children as young as two-years-old in Islington's day nurseries, or maybe they should be called re-education camps.

This re-education will take the form of leaflets, posters and talks. If this doesn't work electrodes, thumbscrews and even Francis Morrel could well be employed.

But it seems that all this brainwashing could have the opposite effect since children have always had the annoying tendency of doing the opposite of whatever their teachers tell then to. On the whole, a fairly healthy thing to do, especially considering the failures and half-wits not to mention the Trotskyites *(pronounced with a lisp)* that sadly make up a considerable proportion of today's 'teachers'.

IT'S DAFT

As one Islington mother of a three-year-old son rather dubiously put it: "Its daft. My kid has lots of Black and Asian friends *(lucky blighter!)* but if somebody tries to tell him that he has to play with them, then he'll stop. It's much better to let children be natural rather than try to force them."

It seems that if the middle class morons who presently control Islington Council are not careful their plans could well backfire. After all, if he's ordered to, little Tommy might no longer want to play 'knock down granny' with Leroy or 'I'm the king of the tobacconists' with little Singh. The mother quoted might not be perfect but she did stumble by accident on one thing. She said the children should just be allowed to be natural. But that is something the multi-racialists can never allow since nature has a way of being the total opposite of every sick fantasy that the reds and liberals dream and scheme of. Thus multi-racialism is pushed in children's television, from *Playschool* to *Grange Hill* (bring back Gripper). Thus *Top Of The Flops* is crammed full of dancing,giggling, ever so hip, race-mixers.

Multiracialists have to 'teach' and brainwash and intimidate because they are going against the natural laws which mean survival to all the species on the earth. These laws have been followed for millions of years, unlike the fabricated drivel which has drooled from the lips of corrupt politicians and media hacks in Britain for a mere 40 or 50 years. This also is why people like the creeps at Islington council have to pull stunts like this.

The fact is that their system isn't working. They know that racism is still there and that, after all their brainwashing this 'disease' is more virulent than ever. They know that many white, Black and Asian people **don't want** to live in 'perfect harmony', **don't want** their cultures and races, the very essence of what they are, flung into the great 'melting pot' of coca-cola, consumer capitalism and liberalism, because all that comes out of it is drab and ugly. This 'melting pot' has produced **no** great art, **no** great music, **no** great paintings, beacause these things spring from the races and cultures and multiracialism is the **negation** of culture and races, however much the liberals would like to pretend otherwise.
wise.

FASCIST CONSPIRACY

The liberals like to pretend that the increasing racial violence is all part of some sinister "fascist conspiracy". Well we all know it isn't and many of them know it isn't But it's much better for their sleep at night to think that everything will be all right if only the evil National Front were banned or its members locked up. Nevertheless, the unpalatable truth is that large numbers of people are so alienated by the unnatural world they live in that they feel the need to turn to violence. This is especially so because they are not allowed to air their views or express their grievances in any other way.

"Teacher told me slavery was my fault".

This too is why the Islington mafia has to lower itself to brainwashing two-year-olds, because you can't bring children up naturally if they are then flung into a sick and unnatural world. A spokesthing for Islington council has said: "we want to let children know they live in a multi-racial society*(as if they need to be told)*. They are never too young to start".

So the trash at Islington council feel that it's never too young to start. Well, there is another saying that these people might like to ponder on, that is 'you are never to old to be taught a lesson'. The bosses at Islington will find it a very hard lesson indeed. It is a lesson that we would be more than willing to teach them!!!

SPOTLIGHT ON POLICY

UNEMPLOYMENT

BY NICK GRIFFIN
AND JOE PEARCE

Q. I'm unemployed. The National Front is only interested in Immigration. Why should I bother with the NF?

A. Many NF members are unemployed and they see the NF as their only hope of a future. Only the National Front's economic policies can end unemployment.

Q. But the NF blames unemployment on the Blacks. You use Blacks as the scapegoat for unemployment to divert attention from the *real* cause of unemployment which is the System itself. We should blame the bosses not the Blacks.

A. The NF doesn't blame unemployment on the Blacks, but it is a simple matter of arithmetic to understand that the presence of several million immigrants in this country increases the labour pool, adding to the unemployment problem and making it possible for employers to pay lower wages. You say blame the bosses not the Blacks. We say blame the bosses **for** the Blacks.

Q. But there were no Blacks in Britain in the 1930's yet there were still millions of workers on the dole. Surely this shows that Blacks aren't responsible for unemployment.?

A. As we've already said, the NF doesn't blame the Blacks for unemployment but it takes a very stupid person not to realise that the presence of five million Coloured Immigrants adds to the pool of available labour and **aggravates** the problem.

Q. Alright, so if you don't blame the Blacks for unemployment who or what do you blame?

A. The NF blames the international capitalist system for causing unemployment and destroying British industry.

Q. What do you mean?

A. Thousands of jobs have been lost because the Tories have removed restrictions on the export of capital. This has allowed Big Business, especially the multi-national companies, to close down factories in Britain and move their money and machinery to countries like Hong Kong, Korea and behind the Iron Curtain. As wages there are far lower than here, the goods are produced cheaply and then sent to Britain, making big profits and undercutting our own factories. This fills the pockets of a handful of Capitalists, but for smaller British firms and the ordinary worker it means bankruptcy and the dole queue. A National Front government will therefore pass laws to stop this export of capital and to ensure that the country's factories are owned by Britons, not by foreigners. Import controls will also be introduced to protect our home industries so that all goods which could be made in Britain are made here, thereby creating work for hundreds of thousands.

Q. But wouldn't that just provoke retaliation and leave us completely isolated?

A. No. The import bans will be selective, against countries like Japan and Communist states such as Rumania to whom we export little, but whose cheap imports have already ruined our motorbike, electronics and textile industries and are now destroying whole sections of our manufacturing base.

Q. So repatriation of coloured immigrants, exchange controls and import bans would create jobs for millions of our own people. But why does the National Front attack the Banks and the present financial system? What effect does this have on the economy?

A. Unemployment is also the inevitable result of mechanisation and increased industrial efficiency. Modern technology makes it possible to increase production while decreasing the workforce. All over the developed world industry is complaining about "over-production" and cutting back output accordingly. But how can they say that Britain is "over-producing" when Scotland has the worst slums in Western Europe, when thousands of our old folk die each winter of diseases brought on by hunger and cold, when our cities are full of derelict building sites and when millions of our own people live in abject poverty? These scandals show that the market for goods and services does exist in Britain, what does not exist is the money to allow the people to buy them.

Yet "money" by itself is only worthless paper and entries in bank ledgers. A nation's real wealth lies in its productive capacity – in its factories and farms, its roads and resources, and in the skill of its people in finding new ways to harness them. The fact that all the money needed by the economy has to be borrowed from the Banks is the basis of most of the economic evils of the Western world. Not only does it give the parasitic Banks unimaginable power and wealth, but it also means that since all the money borrowed has to be repaid *with interest*, there is a constant loss of purchasing power, so that the population of the country can never afford to purchase all they can produce.

Q. Surely Mrs. Thatcher's "cuts" are making things even worse?

A. Yes. We've still got inflation, mainly because of the burden of debt, but productive industry is suffering from stagnation and deflation, just as it did in the 1930's. What it needs is more money, not less. There is an easy way to explain this: imagine that a mother buys a packet of baby-food and follows the instructions on the packet which say that a baby of certain weight should be given a set amount of food. Unfortunately a lot of the food sticks to the bowl and the baby doesn't get enough, so it loses weight. The mother weighs it again and follows the instructions which say that a smaller and lighter baby should have less food. In turn the baby gets even thinner and is fed even less. Unless the mother changes her policy, it will starve to death. The mother is Mrs. Thatcher, the food left in the bowl is the money sucked out of the economy by the parasitic Banks and the baby which is being starved to death is British industry.

Q. What's the answer?

A. The credit needed to run the economy must be created interest free by the government, not borrowed from the Banks. Factories built with borrowed money have to put up their prices to pay off usurious interest rates. This automatically builds inflation into the production system and must be stopped. The people must be given the purchasing power to buy the goods which they produce.

Q. So repatriation, import controls and financial reform would end unemployment for a time. But the experts say that the micro-chip and advanced mechanisation will eventually make the industrial worker obsolete. You've only got to look at the adverts for cars made by robots to see that it is possible. Surely unemployment will then increase again?

A. Yes. The NF policies already discussed will merely give Britain a breathing space of perhaps twenty years and are the answers to existing problems, which the electorate will be able to understand easily. In the long run, however, well-made goods without built-in obsolescence will largely saturate the

market and technology will allow the new goods which are needed to be made by a smaller workforce. To deal with this long term threat of renewed unemployment, far more radical and deep-rooted changes are needed.

We must accept that full industrially-based employment is not possible and, what is more, *not desirable*. Why should members of the most intelligent and creative race on earth have to slave in the mind-numbing boredom of the factory when machines could do the work for them? That surely is the ideal solution, providing that the products made by the machines can be distributed to the people who would have made them. The benefits must not go just to a handful of super-Capitalists while everyone else lives in jobless poverty.

Q. But if the people are no longer working in the factories, what right have they to enjoy the wealth which the machines produce?

A. Well, if the British people do not have the right to that wealth, who does? Most of the profit from such industry will come not from the labour of the workers, nor from money invested by the owners, but from machines and technology developed by our race over centuries and which are therefore part of our common inheritance. There is no reason why only one section of the nation should reap the benefits of such accumulated skills and inventions; the plutocratic boss of an industrial monopoly has no more "right" to them than an unemployed school leaver.

Q. But if factories and service industries are run by machines and new technology, what jobs will there be left for people to do? Surely, in the end, unemployment will be even worse than now?

A. Just look at the thousands of acres of wasteland in our cities. It will take millions of man hours to reclaim it for building new homes or for allotments and city farms. Then there are polluted rivers to be cleaned up and millions of trees to be replanted to replace those lost through disease, pollution and ruthless farming techniques. This environmental work, along with social projects such as day centres and better homes for pensioners and young families, will provide employment for hundreds of thousands. It would be rewarded by a generous 'social wage' and recognised as a valuable contribution to the nation, carrying none of the stigma and degradation now attached to the dole queue.

As the squalor and waste of two hundred years of rapacious 'get-rich-quick' capitalism is cleared up, interest free loans and technical advice centres will encourage thousands of enterprising individuals to set up their own small shops and businesses. The government will help these people by breaking up industrial and service monopolies.

Wherever possible, enormous factories and supermarkets will be replaced by independent craftsmen and small shop-keepers.

Q. But surely even these policies will only delay unemployment for a bit longer. When all the thousands of destroyed trees have been replanted and the rivers cleaned up what will the people do then? Won't they then just return to the dole queue?

A. In the end, the ugly face of unemployment will return to haunt our society unless a permanent and self-renewing form of life and employment is found for the British people. Only the National Front can provide this permanent and self-renewing employment for our folk.

Q. So the National Front claims to have the only long-term answer. What is this final solution to unemployment?

A. The National Front believes in a Distributist society. That is to say a society where land, labour and the means of production are owned by the people and controlled by the people in an individual or personal capacity.

Capitalism believes in ownership and control by the privileged few. Communism believes in ownership and control by the State. The National Front believes in ownership and control by the people themselves.

Q. That's all very well in theory, but isn't it just pie in the sky? How will this be put into practice and how will it solve unemployment?

A. We will encourage emigration from the large industrial cities. For hundreds of years there has been a drift from the land to the cities. Rural depopulation has emptied thousands of once thriving villages. This is lamentable and will be reversed by breaking up large mechanised agricultural estates into labour-intensive small-holdings and family farms.

The huge farms of lowland Britain are only able to maintain their much vaunted 'efficiency' by the use of millions of tons of poisonous chemicals, artificial fertilisers and oil. All these things are derived from mineral reserves which are fast being exhausted. They also have to be imported, which is economically bad and strategically dangerous. They are, in addition, bad for the soil, for wildlife, and for the people who eat the crops.

One of the first priorities of a National Front government must be a programme to replace highly mechanised capitalist agriculture with small, privately owned and labour intensive farms. By using natural organic manures, such small-holdings have been proven to be more productive per acre than 'modern' large farms, while giving a permanent, healthy and satisfying living to hundreds of thousands of British free-holders.

Q. So is the NF suggesting that everybody should return to the land?

A. No, the NF believes that millions more of our people **should** return to the land since this will not only provide a healthy, permanent and spiritually fulfilling way of life for them, but will also end the poisoning of our soil and the consequent poisoning of our food. Because labour-intensive farms are more productive **per acre** than the big mechanised farms of today this will mean we can grow more food as well as providing employment for millions more people. Nevertheless, some people will not wish to live on the land and the NF's Distributist policies will provide permanent and personally fulfilling jobs for these people as well.

Q. How can that be done?

A. Multi-nationals and other Big Businesses will be broken up. They will be replaced by thousands of small businesses and workers' co-operatives which will be protected from unfair competition be it from home or abroad. Also, the encouragement of craftsmanship in preference to the mass produced rubbish churned out by factories will lead to a resurgence in the number of skilled artisans. The Distributist society will provide permanent employment because it will sever all links with the international capitalist sytem, ensuring that foreign interference doesn't threaten Britain's new-found social and economic stability.

Q. So unemployment can only be abolished by a combination of short and long-term policies?

A. Yes. Only the National Front has the short-term policies needed to eradicate employment **now.**

These are:–

1. The repatriation of all coloureds to their lands of ethnic origin. This will reduce the labour pool by several millions.
2. The banning of all foreign manufactured goods which Britain could and should be producing herself. This will stop cheap foreign imports from flooding into the country, which force even more British businesses to go bankrupt and thus throw even more people onto the dole.
3. The withdrawal of Britain from the Common Market, since continued membership forces Britain to accept cheap imports from EEC countries.
4. The banning of all exports of investment capital to ensure that British money is invested in Britain. At the moment, Big Business is investing billions of pounds of British money in America, the Eastern Bloc and the Third World. This must stop because we need this money to rebuild our own industries and create jobs in Britain.
5. The nationalisation of the banks and the consequent lifting of the usurious debt-burden from the shoulders of British industry. At present the bankers' debt-swindle is sucking Britain's economy dry; this must stop, it's time to put British jobs before bankers' profits.

These Five Points are the key to ending unemployment now!

Likewise, **only** the National Front has the **long-term** solutions to unemployment.

Ultimately, the tragic waste of the dole queue can only be ended by a revolution in financial policy and the wage system. The people must be given the purchasing power to consume the goods produced by advanced technology. Finally, we must establish a Distributist Nation of free and independent land owners, enjoying the benefits of industrial society without its evils of alienation, waste and exploitation.

WE WILL REMEMBER THEM

ON THE MORNING of the 1st July 1916, the Battle of the Somme began. This fateful day was the most costly for the British Army in all the annals of its glorious history. At dawn, the British Army attacked the German positions, and the conspicuous gallantry that day of the 36th. (Ulster) Division, will forever be remembered. This Division was composed entirely of the previously outlawed Ulster Volunteer Force, which joined up to a man in their country's hour of need. They now formed up, battalion after battalion in their adoptive Regiments: The Royal Inniskillin Fusileers, The Royal Irish Fusileers and The Royal Irish Rifles.

NO SURRENDER!

At dawn, the Ulster Division spearheaded the assault up the valley of the Ancre. Their objectives were to take the villages of Thiepval, Ginchy, Beaumont Hamel and Lesboeufs. These names were to haunt the fields of Flanders for the duration of the Great War. Despite a heavy British artillery barrage, the German trenches were hardly scratched, and their guns were not silenced. To cries of "No Surrender" and "Remember the Boyne", the sons of Ulster swept irresistibly forward, further than they were supposed to go. This momentous charge left the Ulstermen far ahead of their comrades on either flank. This included the 16th. (Irish) Division, which had failed to make as much headway. Nevertheless, despite fearful casualties, the men of the U.V.F. held out, earning immortality in the process.

HEROES OF THE U.V.F.

A contemporary account of the charge, described as "one of the most glorious charges to death in history", sets the scene better than anyone could today. "The Inniskillins won the ridge and vanished into the smoking furnace of the valley, and there they were joined by the long-jawed and stern eyed Covenanters, who had swept over two lines of enemy trenches and were charging deeper into the hostile works . . . .

"They remained defiant and full of menace. Blood told . . . Always they had been the most persistent fighting race in Western Europe, and in a century of peace they had maintained their extraordinary fury of character by provoking annual street fights with the Catholic Irishmen . . . .

"In the valley of death by the Ancre the amazing race of Ulstermen, with their curious combination of Puritanic grimness and Celtic perfervidness, displayed to their Catholic countrymen on their flank, who were themselves among the finest fighters in the field, the sheer, dreadful, driving power with which they went to war . .

"The old Ulster Volunteer Force, originally designed for the event of Civil War, sacrificed itself for the Empire and the cause of humanity".

British soldier in a conquered enemy trench: Quiet and steadfast and in triumph merciful.

BILLY McFADZEAN

Four V.C.'s were won on this day. Perhaps the most famous was immortalised in that haunting song, *The Ballad of Billy McFadzean.* This Belfast U.V.F. man sacrificed his life, at the age of 20, by throwing himself on some bombs which were about to explode, so saving his comrades' lives.

Later in the Great War, the Ulster and the Irish Divisions again charged together. In June 1917 at the Battle of Messines, in August 1917 at the Third Battle of Ypres and in November 1917 at Cambrai. On these occasions, the only rivalry between them was that of gallantry. In days yet to come, we will surely see soldiers from all four Irish provinces go into battle side-by-side once again, along with their brothers from mainland Britain, to defend these sacred shores from our common enemies.

The traditions of the Irish Regiments, particularly the Ulster units, are now kept up by that fine Regiment, the Royal Irish Rangers. Militant Loyalists have now once again been forced to stand to arms, defending their homeland against alien-inspired Communist rebels.

The sacrifices of our brothers in Ulster must never be forgotten by us on the mainland. On numerous occasions they have loyally taken their place at our side. Asking for and expecting no thanks. Now it is the mainland's turn to stand by our kin. Their blood is our blood. Their victory is our victory. Their defeat is our defeat. If we stand together shoulder to shoulder, the Nations of Britain have nothing to fear from any quarter. United we stand, and in unity we will never fall.

HONOUR AND GLORY

Capt. E.N.F. Bell V.C. Royal Innniskillin Fusileers (Thiepval.)
Pvt. W. McFadzean V.C. Royal Irish Rifles (Thiepval.)
Pvt. R. Quigg V.C. Royal Irish Rifles (Hamel.)
Lieut. G.St. G.C. Carther V.C. Royal Irish Fusileers . (Hamel.)

THE RIOTS: An Open Letter to Blacks in Britain.

Dear Sirs,

It's time to talk.

As the events of recent weeks have shown, the multi-racial "melting pot" experiment has failed. The racial polarisation that is inevitable as a result has only just begun, but the signs are that the explosion of racial violence that it will bring will make the bloody tragedy of Northern Ireland look like a playground punch-up.

After the Black Rebellions of 1981, *Nationalism Today*, the main ideological magazine of the National Front, sent an Open Letter to many members of the White Establishment, including William Whitelaw, the then Home Secretary, other MP's, police chiefs and newspaper editors.

In the letter, we told them to face the fact that, whatever the minor contributing effect of unemployment, the factors underlying the violence were much more profound ones of racial tension and total alienation. We pointed out that it was too late to rely on changes in police tactics and said that "any attempt at conciliation, any 'low profile' policy will merely be seen as cowardice and surrender. Aggressive policing, on the other hand, will only serve to alienate still further the Immigrant communities, paving the way to urban terrorism".

We warned four years ago that the problem could not be swept under the carpet and that sooner or later the question of repatriation would have to be dealt with. We believe that recent events bear out what we said to the Establishment then and give us the right to comment and be listened to now: "The riots have already given a great boost to the development of American-style Black ghettoes, as shops, businesses and Whites are driven out by the looting and the rising tide of violence. The steadily growing White backlash is in turn isolating the Blacks still further".

"Many Immigrants can see what is happening and are desperate to return to their own homelands. We wish them well. Race-mixing is opposed by decent people of ALL colours and we bear no ill will to Blacks who, like us, want to maintain their own culture and identity."

"Your refusal to assist their return home, however, condemns most of them to stay in a Britain where they feel alien, where there is no place for them and where they are not wanted. The polarisation between Black and White, already very marked, will continue apace. Your refusal to face up to the facts will widen the gulf still further. You will therefore be responsible for the coming Race War!"

We warned them, just as many Black community leaders warned them, but they ignored or condemned us, just as they ignore and patronise you.

The result is that Britain is sliding, by fits and starts, but inexorably, towards Race conflict on an unprecedented scale. But that violence will not worry the Hampstead intellectuals or the politicians in their country estates, for it will not affect them. The victims will be ordinary people – so why should the politicians or the capitalists who exploit all of us give a damn?

The Establishment is burying its head in the sand, we and you cannot afford to. It is our communities that are going to get hurt, and our political organisations that are going to be hit by repressive police attempts to keep the lid on the boiling pot of racial violence.

If there is any way in which we can solve the problem peacefully and stop the coming explosion, then we have an absolute duty to do so. We don't know if we can, things may already have gone too far, but we must try. The first thing to do is to bury our pride, control our naturally deep suspicions of each other and sit down and TALK.

We are not the first people to suggest this. Several years ago Jah Shaka was reported in the music press as saying "if I could meet with the leaders of the National Front it would solve a lot of problems." Two representatives of a minor White racialist group actually addressed Rastafarians in the West Midlands. Across the Atlantic, White Pride activists have also held discussions with the Black Muslim separatist movement of Louis Farrakhan.

Now it is time for the leaders of the Black community in Britain and the National Front to do the same. For far too long, we have relied on the liars of the Establishment press for our information about each other. This is useless. **We want to hear direct from you how you see the future of your people in a Britain wracked by economic collapse and racial violence. And we want to explain face to face how we view the future and how we believe that repatriation can be achieved with justice and humanity. All you will ever get from the Establishment is patronising and hypocritical cant. From us you will only get the truth. Which do you want?**

All around the world, the different races have reached a parting of the ways. Either we can continue down the same multi-racial road that has made big profits for international capitalism but which has brought us to the brink of communal war, or we can recognise that our destinies differ and go our separate ways in peace. Now is the time to decide which it is to be.

MULTI-RACIALISM: Another fine mess they've got us in to.

Accordingly, we invite you to contact the National Front. We will publish this Open Letter in *Nationalism Today* and will gladly print constructive replies. More importantly, we ask you to respect the sincerity of this offer and contact us with a view to arranging a meeting or series of meetings, at which leading members of the Black community can meet with the leaders of the National Front. What the results of this world be no-one can tell, but the only other alternative is so horrific that it is surely our duty to give it a try.

Yours sincerely,

Nick Griffin,
Deputy Chairman,
National Front.

NATIONAL FRONT NEWS

Send 30p for a sample copy of *NF News* to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

NICHOLAS MILLS

WHAT IS A COMMUNITY?

COMMUNITY is a word much used by the media. Politicians talk of safeguarding the community or community policing. National Front writers frequently refer to 'sinking roots in the local community'. Is this word community really understood though? Are there any communities left?

A community develops naturally out of man's need to organise himself in relation to his environment. A community which develops over time has a sense of continuity. Its members are fiercly loyal to each other. As a community matures it becomes aware of its rights *vis a vis* other sections of society. These communities are strong. It was this sense of togetherness that led men to flock to the colours in 1914. It also led working men to support the General Strike of 1926. That strike very nearly put an end to capitalism in this country. This point is vital to any analysis of capitalism's view of the community. Quite simply, capitalists must never allow that situation to arise again. It must be stressed that capitalists will never forgive the working man for what he so nearly achieved in 1926.

Now, there is no justice in a system that deprives the man who slaved to build our nation, and went off to fight for it, of his rights to enjoy the fruits of what he laboured to create. Indeed, when the strikers of 1926 tried to stand up for their rights, capitalists termed them 'traitors', even though only a short memory away they had fought in the hell of Flanders for their country.

To prevent the British people ever claiming their rights and to prevent the Jew ever being regarded as an outsider, the communities had to be tackled and broken up. There are various aspects to this process which is still under way today.

PRIME TARGET

Communities of working men in towns were a prime target. Under the name of 'town planning' the establishment went about breaking them up as far back as the 1950's. It was a simple matter. Whole groups were re-housed in tower blocks and estates (the Labour Party didn't even refer to them as homes but as accomodation units'). In Toxteth, Liverpool, for example, practically the whole place was cleared of Whites, and Blacks put there instead. One White family would be sent to a new tower block and another to a block maybe ten miles away. Thus communities were geographically split. Worse, the members of those communities found themselves deprived of basic freedoms. The planners knew very well that a working man liked to keep a dog, a few pigeons, potter about the garden and make a couple of things in the shed. All of this is impossible in a tower block. Ironically, just as these simple freedoms were being taken from people, Roy Jenkins was ushering in an 'era of freedom' with his 1960's legislation. Yes, as the working man was being confined to his 'accomodation unit' in the tower block, Jenkins was actually boasting of bringing freedom to the country's citizens!

It must be acknowledged that not all this town planning was inspired by the desire to break communities. Corruption had a large part to play. Many people got rich quick in this period of planning. Indeed, for many middle class socialists, fresh from public school and Oxbridge, their first touch of the real world was the planner's bribe in their hand. In fact, the last thirty years is littered with the names of fallen politicians who thus succumbed to temptation. These disgraced politicians are large in number but probably only a small percentage of those involved. Some have suggested that the corruption that was exposed was just the tip of an iceberg that would make the one that sank the *Titanic* look like an ice cube.

Can community life flourish here?

Another important aspect of breaking the community was to create what could be termed 'fantasy communities'. Many people are actually more involved with, say, *Coronation Street* or *Dallas* than they are with their neighbours. It is amazing that soap opera characters receive thousands of letters from people who seem to think they are real. This situation enables the media bosses to present, via their programmes, an image of society as they would like to see it. Programmes such as *East Enders* and *Grange Hill* were specifically designed to indoctrinate.

Not only TV is used to create communities of fantasy. The pop world does the same. Little children are encouraged to dwell in some hideous world where a Boy George or David Bowie is god. Talking of youngsters, it is a terrible truth that most children's earliest memories are now of TV programmes. This fact is not lost on the government who are eager for us to have even more TV; hence their attempt to speed up the coming of cable television. Yes, how grateful must the capitalist be for the TV and radio aeriel. The radio is his complete slave, the spirit of the air as it were, that dulls our senses.

Another way of attacking the community is to shatter their sense of continuity. The establishment was particularly active on this score in the early 1970's. It went hand in hand with our entry into the E.E.C. or capitalist club. One of the most noticeable and completely needless breaks with the past was the changing of the county boundaries. It somehow renders the past, even the immediate past, distant when you think grandad came from Lancashire but 'I come from Merseyside'. The Light and Home Services on the radio were given the colourful names Radio 1,2,3, and 4. Our currency was changed to decimal. Metrication was introduced. With all these needless changes it is quite possible to talk to an elderly person about, say, Britain only thirty years ago, and believe it was a separate country: Ideal for the capitalist.

VITAL ROLE

Immigration plays a vital role in breaking communities. Like some evil Prospero conjuring thousands of Calibans to these beautiful islands the capitalist has brought the immigrant. Mass coloured immigration is an arranged marriage that all decent Whites must reject. We only have to glance at a school curriculum to see how completely our cultural heritage is shattered by immigration. Not even our religion is taught anymore, it is just presented as one of a number of religions. In history, where pride should be instilled, shame is taught instead. Even in music the subject is taught to further multi-racialism. What renders this so sinister is that, as a Mr Rothschild has written, 'the covert approach is best'. 'The covert approach' in education? Education should be the realm of honesty, surely?

These immigrant communities are carefully nurtured by both the capitalist and the 'left'. Old people tremble with fear as Black muggers roam the streets, but the 'left' will not hear one word against these coloured folk. The capitalist must be grateful to the 'left' for that. The establishment bends over backwards to help these ethnic communities. What about the mining communities though? Well, that's something else.

In future perhaps Nationalist writers should refer to sinking roots in our **battered** communities.

Sadly, most people have never experienced a genuine community. It is the duty of the NF to encourage in people an awareness that a community is based on mutual service related to love of one's people. A community cannot stand where the people are dulled by TV, set-sleeping if you like, as the capitalist prepares to slay them completely so he can usurp their rightful inheritance. No matter how far distant from controlling their own destinies the British people are at present, and that distance seems an ocean wide, the NF must strive to awaken in people a desire to once more control their own lives.

THE RAG TRADE : A Question Of Import

JOE PEARCE

WILL the rag trade stick to its sweatshops and compete with the third world Or will it go for quality, invest in new technology and pay its workers a living wage? Thus asked Maggy Meade-King in the *Guardian* on September 18th.

So which is it to be? Perhaps a look at the facts may help us to decide.

The present position in the clothing industry is grim. Full time adult workers in clothing manufacturing have the lowest weekly and hourly earnings of any industry in Britain. Over two-thirds of its full-time workforce earns less than £100 a week. Four out of five clothing workers are women and they are concentrated at the lower end of the wage scales. "yet another example of a traditional women's skill being undervalued", as Ms Meade-King so aptly put it.

Worse still, many garment workers are unregistered and, therefore if undiscovered, outside the law. They can be paid less than the clothing Maunufacture wages Council £66 per week adult minimun and have no holiday or sick pay entitlement. Many work in Victorian conditions or at home,combining long hours at a sewing machine with their domestic responsibilities.

Even registered workers may not be recieving legal levels of pay and conditions for the factory inspectorate has been reduced to numbers that only allow it to visit a factory once in 14 years. Added to this are low levels of union membership and the Government's determination to weaken wage council protection.

Fierce competition from the Third World has meant that the industry has seen a huge fall in employment, from over half a million in the late fifties to under 200,000 today.

DIRE

The situation is dire indeed and one can't help but despair as to what sort of future the clothing industry has in this country. We need not worry though, since Ms Meade-King is full of hope: "There is hope however, in the increasing demand for better quality clothes with a high fashion content. There is now a variety of fashionable styles rather than one dominating look and the big high street chains, who have the muscle in the industry, are increasingly looking to their manufactureres to provide more design input. They would prefer to buy from the home industry as it can supply faster, shorter runs than its Third World competitors."

Ms Meade-King drones on interminably about 'management efficiency', 'micro-computer based systems for stock control' and 'computerised design, pattern making and cutting equipment'. Furthermore, if you manage to wade through the jungle of jargon you will find the mandatory plug for all the good work the Greater London Council is doing to save Britain's textile industry. Nobody can deny Ms Meade-King's enthusiasm and endeavour and she certainly tries hard to sell her scheme to save the rag trade. It's a pity that she's missed the point!



Sweatshops using Third World labour are undercutting the wages of British workers in the textiles industry. These sweatshops have led to cheap imports which are destroying the home industry and throwing thousands onto the dole. Now, thanks to immigration, these Third World sweatshops have come to Britain. They are owned and staffed by Asian immigrants and, like their counterparts in the Third World, they are undercutting British workers and threatening even more people with the misery and degradation of the dole queue. Consequently, repatriation of Asian immigrants is as necessary to the salvation of the indigenous British textiles industry as is the introduction of import controls.

Let's go back to the question she posed at the beginning of her article: Will the rag trade stick to its sweatshops and compete with the Third World? Or will it to for quality, invest in new technology-and pay its workers a living wage?

Ms Meade-King rightly says that"we cannot compete with the Third World on cheapness" so she argues that British working class ('cheap rubbish') but should pander to the rich who can afford the 'high quality' clothes which the Third World can't produce. Doesn't it seem strange that a good 'socialist' like Ms Meade-King should put forward such an argument? Worse still, isn't it somewhat racist to claim that the Third World can't match British workers for quality?

GOOD 'SOCIALISTS'

Not being good 'socialists' of the Maggy Meade-King ilk, the National Front believes that the clothes worn by British workers should be made by British workers and we don't believe workers in the British textiles industry should toil for the sole benefit of the rich. Furthermore, not being crude racists, the National Front doesn't doubt for a minute that the sweatshops in the Third World could create the 'high quality' clothes Ms Meade-King talks of. After all, doesn't she say herself that the production of 'high quality' clothes doesn't depend on the work of skilled tailors but on "computerised design, pattern-making and cutting equipment".

POSITIVE DISCRIMINATION

Unlike Ms Meade-King , Big Business does not discriminate against the Third World . On the contrary, when it comes to investment, international capitalism believes in positive discrimination **in favour** of the Third World! Unfortunately Ms Meade-King, the National Front knows too much about the realities of macro-economics not to realise that the Third World will soon have all the investment -and technology it needs to be capable of producing anything which our home-grown textiles industry is capable of.

So is the textiles industry doomed?

No, it isn't or rather it need not be if a National Front government were in a position to save it. Our solution to the problem is simple, yet it has still to eluded Ms Meade-King and most others like her.

G.K. Chesterton once said that things have to be understood in their simplicity before they can be understood in their complexity. Never a truer word was spoken and it is precisely because the NF understands the problem in its simplicity that we alone have found the solution.

FRINGE BENEFITS FOR WILDLIFE

THERE was a time when the Manydown Company farm on the edge of Basingstoke in Hampshire regularly won awards for being the best kept in the district.

Nowadays, however, it is usually at the bottom of the list, and the farmers couldn't care less!

It is not that they are bad farmers, far from it; theirs is a considerable estate, with 19 full-time workers and nearly 3,000 acres, most of it used for growing arable crops for seed.

So what is it that upsets those who judge which is the best kept farm? Apparently the judges are used to orderly weed-free crops which have been sprayed to banish all intruders, while the Manydown fields, seen from the roadside, are an untidy, colourful mass of poppies, mayweed, thistles, bindweed and all sorts of other things that farmers have been taught to seek out and destroy.

UNSPRAYED FRINGE

The reason for this apparent disorder is that Mr Hugh Oliver-Bellasis, who owns the Manydown farm with his brother Charles, has deliberately made a practice of leaving an unsprayed 20ft wide fringe around each of his wheat and barley fields. That may not sound like much, but the results suggest that if it became accepted practice, the benefits to wildlife and the landscape nationally could be dramatic.

The Cereals and Game Birds Research Project which Mr Oliver-Bellasis started two years ago, was prompted by a conviction that the continued destruction of hedges and verges, and the elimination of weeds and the insects that rely on them, would lead to the eventual extinction of many species of birds, butterflies and small mammals.

A 15-year research programme by the Game Conservancy has shown that the widespread use of pesticides and fungicides was destroying the food supplies of insects and quite possibly directly poisoning the insects.

Meanwhile, the elimination of predators such as ladybirds, hoverflies, lacewings, beetles and earwigs allowed aphids to flourish, forcing farmers to use insecticides.

ASTONISHING RESULTS

The results of the Oliver-Bellasis experiments were astonishing,. Within a single year comparison of sprayed and unsprayed verges showed a trebling in the number of butterflies and partridge chicks, and more than twice the number of pheasant chicks.

Good news travels fast and the Research Project has already raised £279,000 in contributions, compared with an original target of £125,000. It has so far attracted 450 farmers as subscribers and is funded by the Nature Conservancy Council, the World Wildlife Fund and the British Trust for Ornithology.

Mr Oliver-Bellasis accepts that to some people conservation and field sports make strange bedfellows, but he believes the two are firmly interlocked. He is also very scathing about what he calls 'cosmetic conservation' which tinkers with the least important aspects of conservation while ignoring issues of central and crucial importance.

To Mr Oliver-Bellasis the subject of overriding concern to farmers and conservationist alike is the serious threat to wildlife posed by the long-term effects of agrochemicals.

The choice is simple: unhealthy agribusiness or healthy wildlife. There certainly isn't room for both.

TREES TOPPED

A SWEDISH expert on the effect of acid rain on trees, recently expressed alarm at the state of British Beech trees after a four day visit to examine woods in southern England. Professor Benth Nihlgard visited Britain at the request of Friends of the Earth, who are leading environmentalists in their fight to highlight damage to British trees, rivers and lakes from the effects of acid rain. After his visit, Professor Nihlgard stated that the damage to deciduous trees in Britain was worse than anything he had seen elsewhere, even in the dying forests of Central Europe. For those readers who wish to refer back to the 'Green issue' of *NF (No.20) and re-read* the article on the dying forests of Germany, you will then realise exactly how grave the danger is to our woodlands and how perilously close they are to becoming extinct. Professor Nihlgard reported that the Beech trees showed varying symptoms of damage everywhere he went, "leaves were yellowing, the branches were shortened in growth." During his entire tour of eight countries in England and Wales he could find only one group of trees that were "almost healthy". The Professor believes that Britain is particularly badly affected because most of the trees are directly exposed to pollution carried on the wind.

With more and more evidence mounting every day throughout Britain, it is particularly distressing that the Forestry Commission and the State still deny that acid rain and pollution in general seriously affect our countryside and our health. If that isn't bad enough in itself the forces of death are still actively promoting the annihalation of our ancient woodland, moors and heathland and their rich abundance of flora, fauna and wildlife, with huge grants to replace them with conifer plantations. The Nature Conservancy Council estimates that 30–50% of our ancient woodland has been destroyed since 1947 and the rest will be lost by 2025 (except for nature reserves). This is due entirely to felling and coniferisation. The effects of acid rain and other pollution are not even taken into account in the NCC's estimate. *Nationalism Today* **urges all our readers to actively join forces with the environmentalists in the fight for life, before it is too late.**

ATTACKS ON OUR TREES

THE GOVERNMENT'S policy of selling off Forestry Commission woodland to private companies is not only ecologically damaging, but it is also bringing in much less money than the advocates of privatisation claimed it would.

The government aimed to raise £82 million by selling state-owned forests, but it has now emerged that most of the buyers are business syndicates seeking loss-making operations for tax-dodge purposes.

The British Association of Nature Conservationists estimates that the total taxes lost each year through such manipulation are in the region of £25 million. The Inland Revenue has confirmed that exploitation of this tax loophole is widespread.

But the worst of it is that the woodland being sold off to the business sharks of this ecologically priceless national asset has been destroyed since 1945 and the loss is continuing as private buyers fell the centuries-old native trees and replace them with quick growing conifers.

In a desperate attempt to minimise the damage, conservationist groups are competing with the capitalist syndicates to buy some of the best sites. Some have been lucky enough to get grants from the government-aided National Heritage Monument Fund and have thus been able to save a few acres of ancient woodland from the chainsaw and the bulldozer.

The whole affair is a classic example of the short-sighted stupidity of Mrs Thatcher's laissez-faire economic policy. What other system would create a situation in which tiny voluntary charities have to beg for government money to buy part of our national heritage from a government agency, which would otherwise sell it off to be destroyed by giant private companies so that they can avoid paying government taxes?

GREEN POLITICS.

Hb. 237pp. Available from the Nationalist Bookshop, Price: £10.95 plus 98p p&p. Cheques/ P.O's payable to 'Nationalist Books', 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

The Black Rat scuttles through the political sewer.

ON HER MAJESTY'S SECRET SERVICE

FROM RUSSIA WITH LOVE . . .

YOUR average spy seems to be a decent sort of chap. That certainly seems to be the view of those who dealt with Oleg Gordievski – the one-time Head of the KGB in London.

Oleg's reasons for defection hardly seem ideological. He prefers Finnish vodka to Russian, Daimlers to Russian built Lada cars and dislikes encountering crowds of "filthy" Russian peasants on holiday.

His opposite number in Moscow appears to be Viscount Asquith a man of similar tastes and, it appears, similar background.

Our man in Moscow was educated at a top public school at Oxford and is the Great Grandson of a British Prime Minister.

Oleg Gordievski was born into the privileged Russian ruling class. He received a top notch education and went straight into the KGB.

Gordievski's defection unleashed a wave of expulsions and counter-expulsions.

However, in the real world it was business as usual. On the day Gordievski's defection was announced a party of Scottish businessmen left for Moscow.

And to cap it all *(get the pun? – Ed)* the *Daily Telegraph* reported that British security forces actually welcomed the prospect of a new batch of KGB operatives arriving.

What is more interesting is the similarities between top British and Russian diplomats. In London the expelled Russians spent their last days paying visits to Harrods, consuming stocks of smoked salmon and selling off their limousines.

In Moscow the expelled Britons had to face the headache of what to do with massive stocks of food imported from the West, of buying up furs and caviar, whilst at the same time arranging for their servants to be paid off.

But the real truth of the matter is that both MI5 and the KGB are far more concerned with opposition to their respective dissidents in their place.

THE SPY WHO CAME IN FROM THE PUB

THE LATEST Special Branch/MI5 campaign against the National Front first came to light in London. A former NF member inactive for several years, was approached by members of Special Branch who remarked on the fact that he was not as involved as he used to be. They went on to suggest that he should rejoin and become active again.

In fact the guardians of law and order were so fond of the National Front that they even offered to pay his membership fee, to pay the cost of travelling to activities and to provide "beer money". "Whatever you need, just get in touch with us" they offered generously.

What did Special Branch lads want in return? Not much, really just the names and personal details of "the people making the decisions in the Front- the ones who make things tick".

The ex-member ,wise to the antics of Plod, led them on a little further. What was special about London? Why were they interested? "Oh", came the soothing reply, "we're just keeping an eye on things. It's going on over the whole country".

They wouldn't say any more, and the little tete-a-tete came to an abrupt end when they were told what to do with their "beer money".

But this is clearly part of a co-ordinated drive to gather intelligence on the National Front, to be used in the event of a round-up of Nationalist leaders and activists should it be deemed necessary. All local units should be on the look-out for a sudden upsurge of enthusiasm and beer money in lapsed members likely to have had past contacts with the police. Police training recommends ex-prisoners, people with petty criminal records and alcoholics as ideal candidates to become informers *(Have we got problems-Ed)*

Any one asking questions about aspects of party organisation which they do not need to know should also be treated with suspicion.

Anyone who has been tricked into such a relationship should quietly approach a senior member of the party and explain his mistake. Anyone tempted by such an offer in future should think carefully about the dangers involved.**Informing can Seriously Damage Your Health.**

INDIANS GET HERMES

INDIA is a country with which we have a unique trading agreement. Each year we give them millions in aid and in return they send us thousands of immigrants.

It is also a country for which we are always being pressurised to dig into our pockets following T.V. reports of starving children etc. *(wheel on Bob Geldof at this point).* And yet India is to buy (presumably with our money) arms from us to build up its already large forces – soon to have nuclear strike capability.

But, as if we haven't bent over backwards enough, just to be sure of clinching the arms deal, we are to give away to India the Falklands flagship, HMS Hermes.

How on earth "millions of starving" Indians are expected to curry and devour HMS Hermes remains to be seen!

GLEANINGS FROM THE GUTTER

Air passengers told: Fastest get seats

By Air Cdre G. S. COOPER
Air Correspondent

NIGERIAN soldiers, faced with sorting out the problem of an internal Nigerian Airways flight that had been three times overbooked, insisted that all passengers with boarding cards should run round the aircraft twice — with the fastest qualifying for seats.

One passenger waiting to leave Bangladesh for Heathrow wondered why the crew ignored frantic hammering from outside. Eventually the door was opened and the pilot got in.

Ethnic minority

AN ADVERTISEMENT in THE STAGE: "Central Television requires for one of their programmes a person 3ft 8in in height with good mobile arms and legs. Must speak English and Swahili or other African/Asian dialect and play sitar or other similar Asian instrument. Dates to be finalised . . ."

Both cuttings quoted were originally printed in the Daily Telegraph.

READERS LETTERS

All letters to *Nationalism Today* should be addressed to The Editor, *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

TRADE UNIONS

Dear Sir,

I am writing about the state of our country's unions in 1985. I am beginning to wonder if there is anyone who can stand up for the White workers of today.

Look at the once proud and strong N.U.M. With Authur Scargill in full control of what's left of the Union and the Nottingham men urging workers to join their breakaway group, what chance would today's miners stand against the Tories, and what effect would industrial action have now? Little I think. As the saying goes: "United they stand, Divided they fall".

The union now, I feel, has left itself powerless. Can't they see they've played into the Government's hands?

Thatcher doesn't need to dismantle the country's unions, they're doing a fine job of it themselves. There are a lot of unions now split and playing their members against each other when they should all be working together.

The workers of Fleet Street and the rest of the Printing Industry seem to be having the same problem at the moment with the National Graphical Association (N.G.A.) and my union, the Society of Graphical and Allied Trades (S.O.G.A.T.) at each other's throats.

Yours for Race and Nation,

Tracy White,
Midlothian.

MONARCHY

Dear Sir,

I found Richard Brown's article, 'What Future For The Monarchy?' (Issue No. 31), both timely and interesting, yet somewhat over-stressing. By this I mean that we, the National Front, should not have to provide an answer to the above question in our *Statement of Policy*, thus placing our movement in a highly vulnerable and (so far) totally unnecessary corner.

I put it to readers that the only people who can answer the question 'What Future For The Monarchy?' are the monarchy themselves. That question will be answered during the National Front's first day in office. If they feel that they can't live in a National Front Britain, and decide to catch the first plane to the USA, then your question will have been answered automatically. We don't want them, if they don't want the British people.

Yours sincerely,

Adam Norris,
London N.

HISTORY LESSON

Dear *Nationalism Today*,

While I agree with Nick Griffin's article in *NT* No.32, 'The Battle of Bosworth: 500 Years On', he failed to mention the fact that Henry Tudor was Welsh.

Henry was forced to live in exile in Brittany from where he sailed and landed in Milford Haven, gaining support from disaffected Welsh chieftans by giving them a promise that, should he be victorious, he would give Wales her independence.

On the way to Bosworth he also gained support from people in the Welsh marshlands. Then, having emerged victorious at Bosworth, he ascended to the throne of England and, although he broke his promise to the Welsh by not giving Wales her independence, he allowed Wales to live her own life without direct rule from the throne of England. Hence, although it was not an ultimate victory for Wales, the Welsh were victorious at Bosworth.

Yours in unity through cultural diversity,

Dafydd Ellis,
London W.

TELEVISION

Dear Editor,

I have decided to reply to what I regard as Paul Nash's illogical condemnation of T.V. in *NT* No. 32.

I suggest Paul's major mistake is his seeming belief that a technological idea can in itself be immoral. Surely whilst T.V. can indeed be a means to transmit lies and corrupted influence, the decision to do so lies solely with its human masters.

It is surely T.V.'s potency as a medium for the transmission of facts and ideas which ensures the Establishment tries to maintain an iron grip on who has access to the viewing public.

T.V. can be a valuable educator of the masses. If T.V.'s are cheap then the possible vision of a new society we would want people to support can be disseminated more quickly to them by any other means. In the past, T.V. broadcasts by our Party have impressed many and I suggest that a party political broadcast showing both the negative images of modern Britain and the positive vision we wish to see replace it would make more impression on the public than any amount of literature can ever do.

That is the possible potential television has.

Yours sincerely,

Mark Alder,
London. W.

Outrage at RAF camp handover

★ STAR LETTER

The best letter published in the local press reflecting National Front policy will be published in each issue of *Nationalism Today*. All letters for consideration should be sent to the Editor, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

NOW that a few acres of Chessington have been given over to the U.S. Army, I would like to tell the local residents more about their new neighbours.

The U.S. Army is plagued with drug-taking and homosexuality. They also have a large proportion of black servicemen who are not on their best behaviour when out at night. Many cases of rioting, rape and the like have taken place near American bases.

As nationalists, we in the National Front believe that the defence of Britain should be solely in the hands of the British people. Therefore we advocate the withdrawal of Britain from N.A.T.O., the U.N. and any other organisation that may bind us to fight other's wars for them.

We also stand for the complete removal of all foreign bases from British soil, which therefore includes all Cruise missile sites.

TERRY BURGE
Richmond N.F. Organiser
Pandora Court
Ewell Road
Surbiton

DASH SET FOR TAKE-OFF

PHIL ANDREWS

FROM the continuing growth of the aviation industry in Britain has arisen the great debate over how best to cope with the ever-increasing influx of passengers and cargo. Perhaps inevitably under a centralised system such as our own, this debate has centred around London. The choice with which we are presented is the uprooting of rural Stanstead, already London's third airport, to make way for fifteen million passengers a year, or the expansion still further of Heathrow, already the world's busiest international airport. Not surprisingly, neither the inhabitants of rural Essex nor long-suffering West Londoners are looking forward to the idea.

Some nationalists would argue that the expansion of the aviation industry in any shape or form would be an unhealthy development in that it is in keeping with the current trend towards internationalisation. Certainly the sight of tens of thousands of cheque-trousered, bubble-gum chewing New Yorkers debasing the streets of England's capital city each year would seem to confirm this fear. Yet is must be said that to a large extent, our society only attracts the tourists it deserves. This scum of the earth will have no wish to come here to see a Nationalist revolution in action. Deprived of budweiser and decadence, they will probably stay at home and leave their rotting bubble-gum affixed to the Statue of Liberty where it belongs.

Bryman Airways DHC Dash–7.

If a degenerate Britain attracts degenerates then it stands to reason that a healthy, liberated Britain will attract not the scum but the cream of the earth. With the international media working overtime to misrepresent and smear our revolution, the more free and enquiring minds that come to see it in action for themselves, the nearer we will become to the worldwide destruction of the old order. The expansion of the aviation industry will help this come about. Yet if the proposed Stanstead/Heathrow expansion projects "to take us into the 1990's" go ahead, then it means we will pay a price which is unacceptable, and which at any rate is incompatible with our ecological outlook. The centralised chaos and the intolerable level of noise pollution which these projects will bring must be opposed by Nationalists everywhere.

NEW DEVELOPMENT

But even today, as the planners discuss the (economic) pros and cons of their respective commercialisation projects, a new development is taking place which already promises to render them obsolete within a couple of decades. This development is the stol concept, spearheaded by the marvellous Dash 7 aircraft manufactured by de Havilland Canada.

The Stol (short take-off and landing) concept was defined by *Flight International* magazine as "Fixed-wing operations into runways of restricted length, within an area of obstruction, while creating minimum noise and nuisance by use of quiet aircraft and steep approach and departure paths". The 50-seater Dash 7 is far and away the leader in this field, having as it does a 400 mile range whilst requiring only 300 metres of runway on which to take off and land. As the aircraft has a descent rate of 1000 feet per minute, the noise level of the already quiet aircraft is reduced still further. The opportunities created by the introduction of such an aircraft are clearly legion.

Firstly, the construction of Stol runways at existing major airports such as Heathrow will serve to reduce their present congestion. As more and more domestic airlines are reverting to slow but economical propeller aircraft, so the amount of time spent by large jets 'circling' the airports waiting for them to land is increasing, intensifying as they do so the level of noise in surrounding areas. An alternative Stol runway would enable such jets to approach without delay and would reduce the presently unacceptable number of landings on one runway (one every 80 seconds at Heathrow).

More importantly, the modest runway appetite of the Stol aircraft, particularly the Dash 7, will make possible the nationwide distribution of air traffic, a development entirely in keeping with our Distributist policies. Small, unobtrusive Stolports can be constructed in the smallest and most secluded of areas – areas where, prior to the introduction of Stol, the operation of large airliners would have been unthinkable. Any who doubt this should visit Plymouth's quaint City airport, a Stolport which has come about by accident rather than design, but which now plays host to the fleet of Dash 7s operated by Brymon Airways, an independent West-country airline.

GO–AHEAD

One Stolport which has already been given the go-ahead by the Ministry of Environment will be built in Silvertown, near Newham, East London. It is envisaged that this will be built by mid-1987 and will be used by up to a million passengers a year. Other Stolport projects currently under consideration are Queen's Dock – Glasgow, Salford Docks and Sheffield. If these and the London Stolport are successful, then others will inevitably follow.

It will doubtless be pointed out that the development of these ports, particularly London, is for the purpose of commercial expansion only. After all, private business aircraft are to be permitted use of London's Stolport whereas light club and recreational aircraft, which are usually quieter, will not. Also passenger fares will be such as to discourage potential non-business customers from abandoning London's major airports in favour of the more central Stolport. The reader should understand that it is not the purpose of this article to endorse any amount of environmental destruction, no matter how small, in the quest for profit. However, the fact remains that if the introduction of Stol can make such revolutionary developments possible in the interests of profit, then they could be made equally possible in the interests of people.

There are also those who would argue that the development of Stol as an alternative to noisy conventional aircraft would be uneconomical. To Nationalists this would be irrelevant even if it were true – environmental health cannot be measured against profitability. A Nationalist Britain must operate its aviation system as it would operate any other public transport system, as a public service rather than a profit-making concern. If such aircraft are quieter and are able to bring air transport closer to the public without the upheaval that would be caused by building conventional airports then this itself should be enough.

COMPARES FAVOURABLY

Yet even the economic argument itself is unconvincing. With its Dash 7 airliner, Brymon Airways is already able to operate a Plymouth-Newquay service for £11 return, which compares very favourably with the noisy Heathrow-Gatwick helicopter link, which covers a third of the distance for £50 return. What is significant is that the Stol aircraft which can operate the most economically is that with the greatest range and capacity, the DHC Dash 7. It must follow, therefore, that future range and capacity expansions of Stol aircraft will increase their conomic viability still further.

Our ultimate goal must be a 21st century air transport industry in which progress and technology serve not to ravage our countryside but to improve our environment whilst bringing to many more doorsteps the opportunity to travel. We must provide the world with th opportunity to see our revolution at first hand. If the inquisitive visitor can fly cheaply and quietly on a 100 seater long-range Stol aircraft into a quiet and secluded Stolport in Morecombe or the Isle of Wight, then he will have witnessed Distributism, environmental awareness and European technological genius in action before he even touches down.

Industrial Front

TRADE UNIONS : Red Power Or White Power

IT IS A DISGRACE that in Britain we have left our opponents to control what should be **our** stronghold of support – the Trade Unions.

These are controlled directly and indirectly by both our Capitalist and Marxist foes, both of whom have the objective of destroying the rights of the British Worker. This was only too evident during the Miners' strike where both Capitalist and Marxist countries shipped in thousands of tons of coal, and the Marxist leaders of the NUM used the Miners as fodder for their political ambition. The saving of the coal pits was vital to Britain. However, due to the incompetence of NUM Marxist leadership, together with the media lies and Police actions, the heroic Miners struggle was doomed to fail.

By NEIL NASH

It is the duty of every Nationalist to be an active member of his/her Trade Union and to make every effort to obtain as high a position as possible. It doesn't take much of your time – just ensure that you regularly attend Union meetings. The Marxists may well think twice about implementing their policies if they know there will be opposition to them. Always make your views known in a clear and responsible way. A good knowledge of NF policies is essential. You should preach Racial Pride not racial hatred, and show just how wide our policies are. You should show a good knowledge of Company rules and a willingness to uphold Workers' rights. If a Black complains, with good reason, that he is being unfairly treated, such as having to work through his lunch break, you should help him also. (After all, they are only temporarily staying in this country. Refusing his rights would be counter-productive, helping him with his rights would gain respect. We are against the exploitation of **any** Workers).

Remember when joining a Trade Union, you have the right to opt out of paying a political levy. It may be a good idea if Nationalist Trade Unionists paid a political levy to the National Front to help pay for leaflets putting NF Trade Union policy forward.

SUPPORT INDUSTRIAL ACTION

Unless a strike goes against Nationalist principles, we must agree to support any industrial action democratically decided by the Workers.

The Closed Shop should be encouraged. Those who refuse to join a Trade Union, should be asked to contribute to the costs of negotiating wage claims, etc. There should also only be one Union for each industry. This would help the Workers present a united from against the Bosses, who have, in the past, played one Union off against another. It would also serve to confine an industrial dispute to the industry concerned and not effect innocent parties.

FOR THE WORKER

The National Front is the only organisation that truly represents the views of the British Worker. In fact, with its policy of Distributism, it is even more for the Worker than the Unions themselves. Distributism gives the Worker more responsibility and interest in the running of the business where he/she works. We are against both Marxism – where industry is controlled by a handful of Party bureaucrats, and Capitalism – which is rule by the pin-striped boss. There will be no foreign companies or those with foreign interests in a Nationalist Britain. Money earned by the Workers' hard work will be put back into the Workers' industries, not invested abroad as is done nowadays. Instead of working in huge firms led by faceless foreign Multinationals, British Workers will be, where possible, working in smaller firms, based on Co-Operative lines, so that they have more say in how they live their working life. This will give more interest and sense of fullfilment in one's work.

The longer we wait, however, the harder it will be for us to obtain power. Already there are Black groups forming in the Unions, such as Nalgo, and across Unions in local areas such as Camden and Southall.

With a bit of organisation and help from experienced Trade Union members we can combat this. White Power showed its face in the 1950's when many Union members went on strike in protest against the mass of Immigrants which flooded in, lowering wages and conditions. Only the combined power of the government and Bosses defeated this action. If only had they succeeded we would have been spared the multi-racial chaos of today.

This time we shall not be defeated. A campaign by branches to leaflet and hold paper sales outside major factories during clocking on/off times, should be encouraged. Local branches should also support NF members who attend Union meetings and 'public' Union meetings. They should also lend more than moral support, to enable our members to put their views across. Leafletting is yet another activity that could boost recruitment. We will win, we must let nothing stand in the path of the National Revolution!

Ron Todd and Bill Morris.

JOIN THE NATIONAL FRONT TRADE UNIONISTS

Ron Todd and his new Black deputy in the Transport and General Workers Union, Bill Morris, are having a laugh at the expense of White workers. It is surely time for the smile to be wiped off their faces and for Whites to once more demand their rights on the shopfloor.

In order to strike a blow for White workers' power all Nationalists are urged to register with the National Front Trade Unionists. Fill in the form below and send it to the Organiser, NFTU, c/o 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

Don't delay. Register with the NFTU now!

Please register me with the National Front Trade Unionists.

NAME .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT .....

.....

NAME OF UNION .....

.....

SOUTH AFRICAN PATRIOT

South Africa's leading racial nationalist magazine is now available from the National Front. Send 40p plus 15p postage to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

ON TARGET !



CONTRIBUTORS SO FAR –

Owing to the administrative changes currently being made in the *NT* offices we are unable to publish a list of contributors this issue. We shall however publish a full list of contributors in the next issue.

ONE LAST PUSH NEEDED!

WE'VE almost made it! One last determined push by *NT* readers should ensure that our target of £500 is reached very soon.

As stated in our last issue the money is desperately needed to allow us to get a range of broadsheets printed. It is hoped that these vital additions to the NF's propaganda arsenal will include new broadsheets on Race, Ulster, Unemployment, Ecology and Animal Welfare.

Now, thanks to the outstanding generosity of many of our readers we are well on our way to being able to ger the first of these printed. Nevertheless, we still have more than a hundred pounds to raise before our target of £500 is reached.

Christmas is only just around the corner and many of you will be saving every last penny to buy presents. As such you may feel that you are unable to contribute financially to the appeal until the New Year. However, it will be a boost for morale and will get the NF off to a flying start in 1986 if we can announce in our January issue that the target of £500 has been reached. Consequently we are asking you to dig deep into your pockets even though Christmas is only a few weeks away.

Why not add the National Front to the list of people you have to buy presents for? Give the National Front something to celebrate at Christmas. Help us to reach our target by December 25th by sending in a donation now!

GET IT REGULARLY!

Make sure you receive Nationalism Today promptly, every single issue. Take out a subscription today by filling in this form and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the correct amount.

Name .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick as applicable): British Isles: £8.00 ☐
Overseas unsealed surface mail £8.30 ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail £11.70 ☐ Unsealed air mail, Middle East £12.30 ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, America, Africa £13.20 ☐ Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand £15.20 ☐ Half rates for 6 issues.
Please add £1 to foreign cheques as commission for our grasping bankers!
All cheques/P.O.'s should be made out to NATIONALISM TODAY and sent to: N.T., 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

NATIONALISM TODAY *The Radical Voice of British Nationalism*

Dear *Nationalism Today*,
I'd like to help you win the war of ideas.. Consequently I enclose a cheque/Postal Order for £ . p so that you can publish a range of policy broadsheets.

NAME:.....

ADDRESS:.....

.....

Please make cheques/postal orders payable to Nationalist Publications and send to NT, 50 Pawsons Road Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

From The Nationalist Shop

50 PAWSONS ROAD, CROYDON, SURREY CRO 2QF.
Tel No. (01) 684-0271.
Open Mon./Fri. 10.00 a.m. – 6.00p.m. Sat. 11.00a.m. – 4.00p.m.

PAYMENT – Please make all cheques/P.O.'s payable to 'Nationalist Books', and send them to the above address. Please don't forget the postage figure in brackets.

RECORDS.
Back in stock at last!

THE WHITE POWER EP
by *SKREWDRIVER.*

Three great tracks – White Power, Smash the IRA and Shove the Dove. This EP is now in its fourth repressing and still giving the reds in the music business nightmares!

Price inc p&p £1.80.

THIS IS WHITE NOISE EP

This popular EP includes "When the boat comes in" by Skrewdriver, "Return of St George" by Brutal Attack, "White Working Class Man" by the Diehards, and "Nerves of Steel" by ABH. This in now on its third repressing.

Price inc p&p £1.80.

STILL AVAILABLE IN LIMITED NUMBERS.
Voice of Britain by Skrewdriver
Price inc p&p £1.50.

Songs of the Wolf by Above the Ruins. Soon to be available on record, this tape costs inc of p&p £3.30.

The Scorpion

Independent 28-page cultural and philosophical journal of interest to Nationalists.

Send 80p for a sample copy to: The Scorpion, BCM 5766, London WC1N 3XX.

BUTTON BADGES.

Ritual slaughter: Ban it!
Yank Troops Out.
I hate commies NF.
Skrewdriver NF.
NF White Power
Celtic Cross.
RAC Man crucified on hammer and sickle.
Smash the IRA.
Stuff the Race Act.
All button badges 40p inc p&p.

Enamel Badges.

Rose of England. £1.20 inc p&p
NF shield badges. £1.40 inc p&p.

Two specials.

The Nationalist Welfare Association have produced a great new 'T' shirt in blue and red; it is a rampant British lion carrying the Union Jack, Profits from the sale of the shirt go to help nationalist prisoners and their families. Do your bit and help the N.W.A.

Price inc p&p £3.75.

NF Diary.

Start the year right with a National Front 1986 diary. A popular item so get yours while stocks last!

Price inc p&p £1.60.

BOOKS

YESTERDAY & TOMORROW
Various writers.

A book that has brought about an ideological revolution in British nationalist circles. A compilation of articles by various European nationalist writers, it is well illustrated throughout and must be considered vital to the education of the serious nationalist.

Price inc p&p £2.75.

THE IDENTITY OF ULSTER
Ian Adamson.

A fine study of the language, customs and traditions of the people of Ulster. A fascinating work that, indirectly, demonstrates that the diversity of the British Family of Nations is a great strength and not a weakness. For the student of the Ulster problem this book is a must.

Price inc p&p: £4.60

ULSTER THE FACTS.
Various Ulster MPs.

A book that puts the other side of the story on the Irish tragedy. This book is illustrated with horrific pictures of the victims of this war. Not for the squeamish reader, but for the reader who wants to know what the IRA's war means in *human* terms.

Price inc p&p: £3.25.

FIGHT FOR FREEDOM:
Joe Pearce.

This booklet was written by Joe when he served his first prison sentence in defence of people and nation- it helped to develop the ideology that saw the emergence of the NF as a truly revolutionary force. As Joe faces his second trial it will be useful to newer folk to know what it is that keeps him in the National struggle.

Price inc p&p £1.40.

THE POLITICAL SOLDIER
Derek Holland.

One of the best selling books at Nationalist Books, this work becomes evermore important as the weight of Establishment repression begins to take effect. The National Revolution will only be achieved if the attitude of *every* member is correct- this book helps to bring this about.

Price inc p&p £0.80p.